

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/767,638	CHARIFSON ET AL.	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Celia Chang. (3) _____.
 (2) Michael C Badia. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 June 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 first paragraph

Claims discussed:

21,26,28, 29,32

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Celia Chang/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The compounds were disclosed to have efficacy on page 48 section [00135] which should be incorporated into the claims. An examiner's amendment was authorized to cancel claims 26, 28, 29, 32 amend claim 21 and added new claims 33-35 employing the scope of page 48.,